Attributes of women directors on family businesses

Irma Martínez García Silvia Gómez Ansón Carmen Benavides González & Margarita Argüelles Vélez

University of Oviedo

1st Workshop on Women in Leadership

June 15th 2017, Madrid

OBJECTIVE

TO ANAYSE HOW DIRECTORS' ATTRIBUTES DIFFER DEPENDING ON GENDER AND FAMILY AFFILIATION FAMILY WOMEN DIRECTORS VS FAMILY MEN DIRECTORS

FAMILY WOMEN DIRECTORS VS NON-FAMILY WOMEN DIRECTORS

HOW WOMEN PRESENCE ON BOARDS MAY IMPACT FAMILY FIRMS' PERFORMANCE

THEORETICAL BACKGROUND





H1a: Family women directors present higher educational attainment level and international backgrounds than family men directors.

H1b: Family women directors present lower leadership experience than family men directors

THEORETICAL BACKGROUND



H2a: Family women directors present lower educational attainment level and international background than non-family women directors.

H2b:Family women directors present higher leadership experience than nonfamily women directors.

THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

RESOURCE DEPENDENCE THEORY

A variety of director's expertise profiles enhances the competence of the board. (Pfeffer, 1972; Pfeffer & Slancik, 1978)

> Directors who provide knowledge in business (senior managers, CEOs, Chairmen or Chairwomen)

Directors who come from specific professional fiels close to business and bring specialist knowledge in law, banking, etc.

Directors who provide board with nonbusiness perspectives or issues and relationships with groups in comunnity. (consultants, professors or politician, civil servants) Women present on a higher degree a nonbusiness background (Dang et al. 2014; Hillman et al. 2002; Simpson et al. 2010)

Women are more likely to be appointed to be support specialists with financial or legal expertise (Dang et al. 2014; Dunn, 2012; Singh et al. 2008)

Female family directors are more likely to be appointed to board due their specific knowledge and links to the family business



H2c: Family women directors' labour background differs significantly from non-family women directors





Data set contains information about firms' ownership and corporate governance structures (extracted from the Annual Corporate Governance Reports; Spanish Supervisory Agency –CNMV-), economic and financial information was obtained form the CNMV, Madrid Stock Exchange and SABI data base (Sociedad de Análisis de Balances Ibéricos)



section of firms' annual reports or from their official websites. If biographical information was not available, firms was directly contacted requesting board directors' curricula vitae. Missing data was obtained from the BoardEx database when available.





To control for endogeneity problems: Problematic variables are estimated at year t-1

	Ia	ble 1: Descrip	tive Statistics	s Firm-Level ((1)	
Variables		All Sample	FFUO(1)	NFFUO (2)	Mann-Whitney U/	
		N=954	N=638	N=316	Chi-squared test (a) (1) and (2)	
	Mean	0.12	0.08	0.20	00.7/2	
AVALUE	Median	0	0	0	99,762	
	Mean	-0.01	-0.02	0.01	96 077***	
AKOA	Median	0	0	0	80,077	Family firms present
DSIZE	Mean	11.19	10.88	11.82	95 501***	smaller boards. a
DSIZE	Median	10	10	11	85,591***	higher proportion of
DDIDMAIN	Mean	39.43	41.47	35.30	04 000***	proprietary directors,
PDIRMAIN	Median	40	42.86	36.36	64,890	and higher gender
	Mean	34.58	32.09	39.61	70.522***	diversity whereas the
PDIRINDP	Median	33.33	30	37.98	19,525****	percentage of
DDIDEEN	Mean	7.80	9.19	5.22	00.000***	independent directors
PDIRFEM	Median	6	8	0	80,082***	firms
ACE	Mean	1,963	1,967	1956	77 04/***	111115.
AGE	Median	1,971	1975	1967	77,840***	Family firms are
ACCETC	Mean	7,050,392	4,612,565	11,972,333	01 (17***	vounger, smaller, show
ASSEIS	Median	1,060,755	976,420	1,930,112	81,012****	higher leverage ratios
	Mean	0.63	0.63	0.62	91,266**	and belong more often
LEV	Median	0.65	0.65	0.64		to non-regulated
REGUL (a)	Freq.	46.96	44.04	52.84	6.577***	sectors

1/11 **~**.

FFUO denotes family firms; NFFUO refers to those observations that are not classified as FFUO10. For continuous variables, the statistic we use to measure the statistical differences is the Mann-Whitney U test. For dummy variables (a), the statistic used is the Chi-squared test and the descriptive statistic is the frequency. *p < 0,10; **p < 0,05; *** p < 0,01

	Tab	ole 2: Descripti	ve Statistics	Firm-Level (II)			
Variables		All Sample	FFUO (1)	NFFUO (2)	Mann-Whitney U/			
		N=954	N=638	N=316	Chi-squared test (a) (1) and (2)			
	Mean	93.76	93.00	95.28	9 3 075***	Non-family firms		
PERGRADUATE	Median	100	100	100	82,075	present higher		
	Mean	35.42	34.90	36.65		educated boards than		
PERMASIER	Median	33.33	33.33	36.36	92,009**	family firms.		
	Mean	16	15.48	17.07	05.025			
PERPHD	Median	14.29	14.29	15.19	95,935			
	Mean	31.79	29.68	36.04	00.005***			
PERSMINLF	Median	30	28.57	33.33	80,805***			
	Mean	31	31.81	29.27	91,179**			
PERSMLF	Median	28.57	28.57	25		Non-family firms		
DEDGEONIE	Mean	36.88	34.25	42.19	75,769***	appoint people with		
PERCEONLF	Median	36.36	33.33	41.67		previous experience as		
	Mean	27.02	27.55	25.96	07.012	executives in non-listed		
PERCEOLF	Median	25	25	25	97,012	firms in a higher degree		
	Mean	38.47	36.28	42.89		than family firms.		
PERCHAIRNLF	Median	37.5	34.17	40	78,549***			
	Mean	20.6	20.69	20.41	100 105			
PERCHAIRLF	Median	20	18.18	20	100,105			

FFUO denotes family firms; NFFUO refers to those observations that are not classified as FFUO10. For continuous variables, the statistic we use to measure the statistical differences is the Mann-Whitney U test. For dummy variables (a), the statistic used is the Chi-squared test and the descriptive statistic is the frequency. *p < 0.10; **p < 0.05; ***p < 0.01

	IdD	le 5. Descripti	ve Statistics i	-inni-Levei (ii	1)		
Variables		All Sample	FFUO (1)	NFFUO (2)	Mann-Whitney U/		
		N=954	N=638	N=316	Chi-squared test (a) (1) and (2)		
	Mean	11.10	10.15	13.04	00.000**		
PERCONSLT	Median	9.09	8.33	10	92,283**	Directors with	
DEDDDOE	Mean	13.91	12.51	16.73	05 015444	professional	
PERPROF	Median	12.5	11.11	12.5	85,31/***	experiences as	
	Mean	14.26	11.35	20.14		consultants or advisors,	
PERCIVL	Median	12.5	10	20	08,040***	Professors, civil	
	Mean	10.23	8.72	13.29	00 740***	have higher presence i	
PERPOLII	Median	9.09	8.33	11.11	82,740***	non-family firms.	
	Mean	24.13	25.26	21.86	05115444		
PEROTHER	Median	22.65	25	20	85,11/***	Directors with	
	Mean	27.11	25.08	31.22	05.010***	international studies	
PERINTERSTUDY	Median	25	22.22	27.27	85,912***	and labour experience	
	Mean	30.22	27.41	35.91	78,816***	have greater presence	
PERINTERJOB	Median	27.27	25	32.46			
	Mean	85.42	86.87	82.50	0.0 (20)	Directors in family firm	
AVERTENURE	Median	75.67	79.10	71.42	93,653*	have more seniority	
	Mean	1.48	1.46	1.53		within the board and	
AVERNBOARD	Median	1.44	1.44	1.49	88,234***	belong less to other	
						board of directors.	

Table 2. Descriptive Statistics Firm Loval (III)

FFUO denotes family firms; NFFUO refers to those observations that are not classified as FFUO10. For continuous variables, the statistic we use to measure the statistical differences is the Mann-Whitney U test. For dummy variables (a), the statistic used is the Chi-squared test and the descriptive statistic is the frequency. *p < 0,10; **p < 0,05; ***p < 0,01

Table	e 4: Direct	tors labour o	characteristics:	Family wome	en VS family men	
Variables		TOTAL FAMILY	FEMALE (1)	MALE (2)	Mann-Whitney U/Chi-squared tes	st
		N=1,302	N=241	N=1,061	- (a) (1) and (2)	
GRADUATE (a)	Freq.	86.94	78.01	88.97	20.798***	
MASTER (a)	Freq.	35.64	33.61	36.10	0.530	lower educational attainment
PHD (a)	Freq.	9.29	2.49	10.84	16.240***	lower educational attainment
SMNLF (a)	Freq.	21.04	12.03	23.09	14.453***	level than their men counterparts
SMLF (a)	Freq.	40.25	44.40	39.30	2.121	
CEONLF (a)	Freq.	24.88	12.03	27.80	26.132***	Momon family directors procent
CEOLF (a)	Freq.	41.01	6.64	48.82	144.448***	women family directors present
CHAIRNLF (a)	Freq.	27.11	5.81	31.95	67.917***	formily mon directors
CHAIRLF (a)	Freq.	33.33	4.15	39.96	113.348***	Tamily men directors
CONSULT (a)	Freq.	3.76	1.66	4.24	3.614*	
PROF (a)	Freq.	4.30	2.49	4.71	2.358	
CIVIL (a)	Freq.	1.77	2.49	1.60	0.891	
POLIT (a)	Freq.	2.53	0	3.11	7.691***	
OTHER (a)	Freq.	22.58	36.51	19.42	32.845***	Family women directors present
INTERSTUDY (a)	Freq.	19.35	14.94	20.36	3.697*	lower international backgrounds
INTERJOB (a)	Freq.	23.04	2.49	27.71	70.455***	than family men directors.
TENHIDE	Mean	128.23	86.76	137.65	104 122***	,
TENUKE	Median	96	84	103	104,122	
	Mean	1.33	1.53	1.28	102 065***	
NDUARD	Median	1	1	1	105,905	

For continuous variables, the statistic we use to measure the statistical differences is the Mann-Whitney U test. For dummy variables (a), the statistic used is the Chi-squared test and the descriptive statistic is the frequency. *p < 0.10; **p < 0.05; ***p < 0.01

The results do not support Hypothesis 1a.

The results support Hypothesis 1b.

Variables		TOTAL WOMEN	FAMILY (1)	NON-FAMILY (2)	Mann-Whitney U/Chi-	-
		N=812	N=241	N=571	- squared test (a) (1) and (2)	Eamily women directors present lower
GRADUATE (a)	Freq.	88.79	78.01	93.35	40.059***	educational attainment level than their
MASTER (a)	Freq.	36.58	33.61	37.83	1.300	non-family women directors
PHD (a)	Freq.	17.61	2.49	23.99	54.008***	
SMNLF (a)	Freq.	26.11	12.03	32.05	35.194***	Family women directors present lower
SMLF (a)	Freq.	21.80	44.40	12.26	102.691***	leadership experience in listed firms
CEONLF (a)	Freq.	18.97	12.03	21.89	10.717*	and higher experience as senior
CEOLF (a)	Freq.	9.73	6.64	11.03	3.726*	manager in non-listed firm than non-
CHAIRNLF (a)	Freq.	20.94	5.81	27.32	47.376***	family women directors
CHAIRLF (a)	Freq.	6.28	4.15	7.18	2.645	
CONSULT (a)	Freq.	12.07	1.66	16.46	34.991***	Non-family women directors have
PROF (a)	Freq.	13.55	2.49	18.21	35.777***	higher experience as consultants or
CIVIL (a)	Freq.	6.65	2.49	8.41	9.557***	advisors Professors civil servants and
POLIT (a)	Freq.	9.61	0	13.66	36.420***	noliticians than family women directors
OTHER (a)	Freq.	34.61	36.51	33.80	0.522	ponticians than lanny women an eccors
INTERSTUDY (a)	Freq.	30.91	14.94	42.03	40.946***	Family women directors present lower
INTERJOB (a)	Freq.	30.30	2.49	69.35	125.479***	international backgrounds than non-
TENHIDE	Mean	56.45	86.76	43.66	22 0/1***	family women directors
TENUKE	Median	44	84	33	55,941	Tanniy women directors.
	Mean	1.54	1.53	1.55	57 291***	
NDUARD	Median	1	1	1	57,501	

Table 5: Directors labour characteristics: Family women VS non-family women

For continuous variables, the statistic we use to measure the statistical differences is the Mann-Whitney U test. For dummy variables (a), the statistic used is the Chi-squared test and the descriptive statistic is the frequency. *p < 0.10; **p < 0.05; ***p < 0.01

The results support Hypothesis 2a.

The results do not completely support Hypothesis 2b.

The results support Hypothesis 2c.

VADIABLES	MODEL 1	MODEL 2
VARIADLES	(D.V.: AVALUE)	(D.V.: AROA)
DDIDEEM	-1.608***	0.087
	(-2.91)	(-1.27)
DCDADUATE	-2.003***	-0.260***
IORADUATE	(-3.40)	(-3.51)
DMASTED	-0.041	-0.005
FWIASTER	(-0.13)	(-0.14)
סטעס	-0.588	0.010
rrnD	(-1.10)	(0.15)
DOMNILE	1.209***	0.033
FSMINLF	(3.78)	(0.82)
DSMI E	1.878***	0.089**
LOMITL	(5.68)	(2.13)
DCEONI E	0.962***	0.025
PCEUNLF	(3.05)	(0.62)
DCEOLE	-0.793*	0.018
PCEULF	(-1.88)	(0.36)
	0.971***	-0.060
PUTAIKINLF	(3.01)	(-1.48)
	-0.324	0.021
PUTAIKLF	(-0.59)	(0.32)
DCONCLUT	0.417	-0.023
PCONSULI	(0.95)	(-0.41)
DDDOE	1.391**	0.022
PPROF	(2.09)	(0.26)
DCIVII	1.886***	0.051
PCIVIL	(3.21)	(0.65)
	0.269	-0.043
PPOLII	(0.50)	(-0.61)
DOTUED	-0.295	-0.014
PUTHER	(-0.86)	(-0.33)
DINTEDOTUDY	0.314	0.024
FINTERSTUDT	(0.94)	(0.58)
DINTEDIOD	-0.129	-0.007
LINTERIOR	(-0.39)	(-0.16)
	0.001	0.0002
AVERIENUKE	(1.09)	(1.15)
	0.210	-0.007

The results seem to support Hypothesis 3 when we consider firm market to book ratio.

The percentage of directors who have experience as CEOs and Chairmen or Chairwomen of non-listed firms and as senior manager of both non-listed and listed firms increase firms performance.

Women family directors present lower degree of leadership management experience. (H1b)

Family firms' performance is negative affected by the presence of women directors

CONCLUSIONS

Hypotheses 1a & 1b

Family women directors present lower educational, international and professional background than family men directors. This result points out that women in family business still grapple with discrimiation in favour of male family members.

Hypotheses 2a, 2b & 2c

Female family affiliated directors hold lower educational level, lower degree of leadership and management experience and are less exposed to international environments than nonaffiliated women directors. Non-family females are also more likely to present a nonbusiness background than family women directors.

Hypothesis 3

Family firms' performance is negative affected by the presence of women directors since directors executive experience significantly increases firms' performance while women directors present a lower degree of leadership/management experience.

Attributes of women directors on family businesses

Irma Martínez García Silvia Gómez Ansón Carmen Benavides González & Margarita Argüelles Vélez

University of Oviedo

1st Workshop on Women in Leadership

June 15th 2017, Madrid